(*d*) whether it has come to their notice that such suspension of work for the present has caused much loss not only to the Government but also to the people ;

(*e*) if so, when the work will be taken up once again ;

(*f*) whether it is a fact that a fresh mutchalika has been taken from the raiyats for the payment of an enhanced contribution in this behalf ?

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. G. Mehkri).—

A.—(*a*) In 1928.

(*b*) Sanctioned estimate Rs. 2,21,540. Outlay incurred Rs. 30,000.

(*c*) Rates much higher than those provided in the sanctioned estimate were found necessary. As large excess and consequently lower return were feared, the work was stopped.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) and (*f*) The question is under active consideration, Mutchalikas are also obtained from the raiyats for payment of contribution, etc.

SRI. SUNANDAMMA (Mysore Women's Constituency).—

Q. 30.—Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of quit orders passed under Section 17 of the Mining Act from the last five years (yearwar) ;

(*b*) the names of the persons against whom the order has been passed ;

(*c*) which of the orders have been subsequently withdrawn ;

(*d*) why the orders on others have not been withdrawn ?

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, LAW DEPARTMENT (Mr. H. V. Narayana Rao).—

A.—(*a*) No. of quit orders passed in—

1937-38	...	1
1938-39	...	3
1939-40	...	14
1940-41	...	28
1941-42	...	3
Total	...	49

(*b*) *Vide* Appendix.

(*c*) In respect of the following persons, the orders have been withdrawn :—

1. Seshamma
2. Ganeshmull
3. Chittray
4. Uddandy
5. Abraham
6. Mr. P. R. K. Sarma
7. Sami Reddy.
8. Dorasami.
9. Mr. K. Chengalarayareddy
10. Thakkali

(*d*) The orders against the others are not withdrawn as it is not considered desirable to cancel the notices against them now.